



## **PSCI 5326 - The Judicial Process: The Judicial Process (SSII - July 06 to Aug 06)**

### **Summer 2026 Syllabus, Section 460, CRN 53057**

---

## **Instructor Information**

**Dr. Simon Zschirnt**

Associate Professor of Political Science

Email: [simon.zschirnt@tamui.edu](mailto:simon.zschirnt@tamui.edu)

Office: Academic Innovation Center 376

Office Hours:

MTWR 10:00am-12:00pm

Office Phone: 326-2619

---

## **Times and Location**

MTWR 6pm-8pm in Academic Innovation Center 220

---

## **Course Description**

---

## **Additional Course Information**

This course is divided into 3 sections.

Section I looks at theories of law and justice and at differing approaches to legal and constitutional interpretation. In this section we will examine the concept of the rule of law, the debate between proponents of legal formalism and proponents of legal realism, and the debate between proponents of constitutional originalism and proponents of a “living” Constitution. We will also look at how these debates inform our understanding of judicial approaches to modern legal and constitutional controversies and our understanding of judicial behavior more generally.

Section II looks at the role of the courts in the political system. In this section we will examine the political construction of judicial power. We will also look at how trends in jurisprudence and attitudes toward judicial power have corresponded to trends in electoral politics. Finally, this section will also examine how effective courts are at making and implementing public policy.

Section III looks at the interaction between law and society. In this section we will examine how the dynamics of the legal profession affect the agenda and jurisprudence of the courts. We will also look at how the unique social and political context of the United States has spawned a unique legal culture.

## **Student Learning Outcomes**

By the end of this course you should have demonstrated through examination, written assignments, and class discussion the ability to:

- 1) Recognize and evaluate the major scholarly debates over legal and constitutional theory, judicial behavior, and judicial politics
- 2) Critically discuss scholarship analyzing the role of law and courts in the American and other political systems around the world
- 3) Apply knowledge gained in the course to evaluating contemporary legal and constitutional debates in the United States and around the world
- 4) Analyze a contemporary issue related to law and courts in the United States or around the world through the writing of a research paper



## Important Dates

Visit the Academic Calendar ([tamui.edu](https://www.tamui.edu/academiccalendar/)) (<https://www.tamui.edu/academiccalendar/>) page to view the term's important dates.

## Textbooks

| Group    | Title                                                                                    | Author                          | ISBN          |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Required | The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited                                    | Jeffrey Segal and Harold Spaeth | 9780521789714 |
| Required | Judicial Review in New Democracies: Constitutional Courts in Asian Cases                 | Tom Ginsburg                    | 9780521520393 |
| Required | The Rights Revolution: Lawyers, Activists, and Supreme Courts in Comparative Perspective | Charles Epp                     | 9780226211626 |

---

## Other Course Materials

All other assigned readings are available in the "Reading Assignments" folder on the course Blackboard page.

## Grading Criteria

Your grade in this course will be based upon your performance on 2 exams (150 points each), a research paper (80 points), and 3 response papers (40 points each).

There are a total of 500 points possible in the course. Letter grades will be assigned at the end of the semester based upon the following scale:

448 – 500 = A  
398 – 447 = B  
348 – 397 = C  
298 – 347 = D  
< 298 = F

**EXAMS:** Exams will consist of 3 or 4 essay questions. These will be drawn from a list of potential questions that will be made available for review prior to the exam. Make-up exams will only be given in extraordinary circumstances and with my prior approval.

### EXAM SCHEDULE:

Midterm Exam – July 20

Final Exam – August 6

**RESPONSE PAPERS:** Response papers are relatively short (approximately 4-5 page) papers that discuss and assess that day's assigned readings. In writing a response paper you should not only summarize the major arguments and/or findings of the assigned readings but also your reaction to those arguments and findings and to the issues that they present.

You are required to submit 3 response papers over the course of the semester. Response papers are due via Blackboard by the beginning of that day's class. I will distribute a sign-up sheet allowing you to choose which 3 sets of assigned readings you will write response papers on.

**RESEARCH PAPER:** Your research paper will examine a topic of your choosing in the area of law and courts. In writing your research paper you should formulate a hypothesis, review the relevant literature, collect and analyze data, and draw appropriate conclusions. Consult with me as soon as possible to discuss potential topics. Research papers should be approximately 10-15 pages in length.

Research papers are due August 5 and you will also be expected to present your paper to the class on that day. Your presentation will account for 25% of your research paper grade.

**\*\*\* Any use of generative A.I. such as ChatGPT to write response or research papers is prohibited and will be considered academic misconduct. \*\*\***



## Schedule of Topics and Assignments

| Day | Date | Agenda/Topic                                                                                       | Reading(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Due |
|-----|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Mon | 7/6  | Introduction                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |     |
| Tue | 7/7  | The Rule of Law (I)                                                                                | 1) Andrew Altman, Critical Legal Studies: A Liberal Critique, Chapter 2<br><br>2) Friedrich von Hayek, The Road to Serfdom, Chapter 6<br><br>3) Lon Fuller, "The Case of the Speluncean Explorers"                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |     |
| Wed | 7/8  | 1) The Rule of Law (II)<br><br>2) The Constitution and Judicial Review (I)                         | 1) Barry Weingast, "The Political Foundations of Democracy and the Rule of Law"<br><br>2) Philip Bobbitt, Constitutional Interpretation, Chapter 1, pp. 12-22<br><br>3) David Strauss, "What is Constitutional Theory?"<br><br>4) John Hart Ely, Democracy and Distrust: A Theory of Judicial Review, Chapter 4<br><br>5) Ronald Dworkin, Freedom's Law: The Moral Reading of the American Constitution, Introduction<br><br>6) Richard Posner, "Against Constitutional Theory" |     |
| Thu | 7/9  | 1) The Constitution and Judicial Review (II)<br><br>2) Legal and Constitutional Interpretation (I) | 1) Mark Tushnet, "New Forms of Judicial Review and the Persistence of Rights and Democracy-Based Worries"<br><br>2) Jeremy Waldron, "Banking Constitutional Rights: Who Controls Withdrawals?"<br><br>3) Robert Bork, "Neutral Principles and Some 1st Amendment Problems"<br><br>4) Jefferson Powell, "Rules for Originalists"                                                                                                                                                 |     |



|     |      |                                                 |                                                                                                                                            |
|-----|------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mon | 7/13 | 1) Legal and Constitutional Interpretation (II) | 1) Frederick Schauer, "Easy Cases"                                                                                                         |
|     |      | 2) Modern Constitutional Controversies (I)      | 2) Richard Posner, "Pragmatic Adjudication"                                                                                                |
|     |      |                                                 | 3) Joseph Singer, "Legal Realism Now"                                                                                                      |
|     |      |                                                 | 4) Edward Rubin and Malcolm Feeley, "Federalism: Some Notes on a National Neurosis"                                                        |
|     |      |                                                 | 5) Lynn Baker and Ernest Young, "Federalism and the Double Standard of Judicial Review"                                                    |
| Tue | 7/14 | Modern Constitutional Controversies (II)        | 1) Raoul Berger, Government by Judiciary, Introduction pp. 10-14, Chapter 2, pp. 22-24, 27, and 30-31, and Chapter 10, pp. 169-172 and 176 |
|     |      |                                                 | 2) Paul Brest, "In Defense of the Anti-Discrimination Principle"                                                                           |
|     |      |                                                 | 3) Owen Fiss, "Groups and the Equal Protection Clause"                                                                                     |
|     |      |                                                 | 4) John Hart Ely, Democracy and Distrust: A Theory of Judicial Review, Chapter 6, pp. 145-46 and 148-61                                    |
|     |      |                                                 | 5) Bruce Ackerman, "Beyond Carolene Products"                                                                                              |
|     |      |                                                 | 6) William van Alstyne, "Rites of Passage: Race, the Supreme Court, and the Constitution"                                                  |
|     |      |                                                 | 7) Lawrence Tribe, "In What Vision of the Constitution Must the Law be Color Blind?"                                                       |
|     |      |                                                 | 8) Wendy Williams, "The Equality Crisis: Some Reflections on Culture, Courts, and Feminism"                                                |
|     |      |                                                 | 9) John Hart Ely, Democracy and Distrust: A Theory of Judicial Review, Chapter 6, pp. 164-70                                               |



|     |      |                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|-----|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wed | 7/15 | 1) Modern Constitutional Controversies (III)<br><br>2) Understanding Judicial Behavior (I)                                                 | 1) John Harrison, "Substantive Due Process and the Constitutional Text"<br><br>2) John Noonan, "The Root and Branch of Roe v. Wade"<br><br>3) John Hart Ely, "The Wages of Crying Wolf: A Comment on Roe v. Wade"<br><br>4) Judith Jarvis Thomson, "A Defense of Abortion"<br><br>5) Jeffrey Segal and Harold Spaeth, The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited, Chapters 1, 2, and 3 |
| Thu | 7/16 | Understanding Judicial Behavior (II)                                                                                                       | 1) Jeffrey Segal and Harold Spaeth, The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited, Chapters 7, 8, and 11<br><br>2) Symposium on The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Mon | 7/20 | MIDTERM EXAM                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Tue | 7/21 | The Role of the Courts in the American Political System (I)                                                                                | 1) Robert Dahl, "Decision-Making in a Democracy: The Supreme Court as a National Policymaker"<br><br>2) Keith Whittington, "'Interpose Your Friendly Hand': Political Supports for the Exercise of Judicial Review by the United States Supreme Court"<br><br>3) Jonathan Casper, "The Supreme Court and National Policymaking"                                                                   |
| Wed | 7/22 | 1) The Role of the Courts in the American Political System (II)<br><br>2) Courts, Jurisprudence, and Political Regimes in U.S. History (I) | 1) Mark Graber, "The Non-Majoritarian Difficulty: Legislative Deference to the Judiciary"<br><br>2) Howard Gillman, "How Political Parties Can Use the Courts to Advance Their Agendas: Federal Courts in the United States, 1875-1891"<br><br>3) Barry Friedman, "The Cycles of Constitutional Theory"                                                                                           |



|     |      |                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thu | 7/23 | 1) Courts, Jurisprudence, and Political Regimes in U.S. History (II)<br><br>2) Judicial Empowerment in Comparative Perspective (I) | 1) Thomas Keck, The Most Activist Supreme Court in History: The Road to Modern Judicial Conservatism, Introduction, Chapter 5, and Conclusion<br><br>2) Mark Ramseyer, "The Puzzling (In)Dependence of Courts: A Comparative Approach"                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Mon | 7/27 | 1) Judicial Empowerment in Comparative Perspective (II)<br><br>2) Constitutionalism in Comparative Perspective (I)                 | 1) Ran Hirschl, "The Political Origins of Judicial Empowerment Through Constitutionalization: Lessons from Four Constitutional Revolutions"<br><br>2) Tom Ginsburg, Judicial Review in New Democracies: Constitutional Courts in Asian Cases, Introduction and Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8<br><br>3) Jon Elster, "Forces and Mechanisms in the Constitution-Making Process"                                                                            |
| Tue | 7/28 | Constitutionalism in Comparative Perspective (II)                                                                                  | 1) Bruce Ackerman, "The Rise of World Constitutionalism"<br><br>2) Dieter Grimm, "Does Europe Need a Constitution?"<br><br>3) Barry Weingast, "Constitutions as Governance Structures: The Political Foundations of Secure Markets"<br><br>4) Stephen Griffin, "Constitutionalism in the United States: From Theory to Politics"<br><br>5) Heinz Klug, "Model and Anti-Model: The United States Constitution and the Rise of World Constitutionalism" |
| Wed | 7/29 | Judicial Impact (I)                                                                                                                | Gerald Rosenberg, The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring About Social Change? Chapters 1, 2, and 6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Thu | 7/30 | 1) Judicial Impact (II)<br><br>2) The Legal Profession and Judicial Agenda-Setting (I)                                             | 1) Malcolm Feeley, "Hollow Hopes, Flypaper, and Metaphors"<br><br>2) Michael McCann, "Reform Litigation on Trial"<br><br>3) Charles Epp, The Rights Revolution: Lawyers, Activists, and Supreme Courts in Comparative Perspective, Chapters 1-8 and 11                                                                                                                                                                                                |



|     |     |                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mon | 8/3 | 1) The Legal Profession and Judicial Agenda-Setting (II)<br><br>2) American Legal Culture (I) | 1) Gregory Caldeira and John Wright, "Organized Interests and Agenda-Setting in the US Supreme Court"<br><br>2) Steven Teles, The Rise of the Conservative Legal Movement, Chapter 7<br><br>3) Robert Kagan, Adversarial Legalism: The American Way of Law, Chapters 1 and 7 |
| Tue | 8/4 | American Legal Culture (II)                                                                   | 1) Robert Kagan, "Do Lawyers Cause Adversarial Legalism? A Preliminary Inquiry"<br><br>2) Deborah Rhode, "F frivolous Litigation and Civil Justice Reform: Miscasting the Problem, Recasting the Solution"                                                                   |
| Wed | 8/5 | PRESENTATIONS                                                                                 | RESEARCH PAPER                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Thu | 8/6 | FINAL EXAM                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

## University/College Policies

Please see the University Policies below.

### COVID-19 Related Policies

If you have tested positive for COVID-19, please refer to the Student Handbook, Appendix A (Attendance Rule) for instructions.

### Required Class Attendance

Students are expected to attend every class in person (or virtually, if the class is online) and to complete all assignments. If you cannot attend class, it is your responsibility to communicate absences with your professors. The faculty member will decide if your excuse is valid and thus may provide lecture materials of the class. According to University policy, acceptable reasons for an absence, which cannot affect a student's grade, include:

- Participation in an authorized University activity.
- Death or major illness in a student's immediate family.
- Illness of a dependent family member.
- Participation in legal proceedings or administrative procedures that require a student's presence.
- Religious holy day.
- Illness that is too severe or contagious for the student to attend class.
- Required participation in military duties.
- Mandatory admission interviews for professional or graduate school which cannot be rescheduled.

Students are responsible for providing satisfactory evidence to faculty members within seven calendar days of their absence and return to class. They must substantiate the reason for the absence. If the absence is excused, faculty members must either provide students with the opportunity to make up the exam or other work missed, or provide a satisfactory alternative to complete the exam or other work missed within 30 calendar days from the date of absence. Students who miss class due to a University-sponsored activity are responsible for identifying their absences to their instructors with as much advance notice as possible.

### Classroom Behavior (applies to online or Face-to-Face Classes)

In the classroom, students are expected to listen attentively, participate respectfully, and adhere to established rules. Behavior that interferes with the class lecture may result in disciplinary action, ensuring a productive and respectful learning environment for everyone. Any disputes over academic matters should be addressed calmly and constructively, ideally during designated times such as office hours or after class. If a student does not agree with a decision, they can request a meeting with the instructor to discuss their concerns in more detail. Should further resolution be needed, the student may escalate the matter to the department head or use formal grievance procedures as outlined in the sections below. (please refer to Student Handbook Article 4 (<https://www.tamtu.edu/handbook/article-04.shtml>)).

## TAMU Honor Code: Plagiarism and Cheating

As a TAMU student, you are bound by the TAMU Honor Code to conduct yourself ethically in all your activities as a TAMU student and to report violations of the Honor Code. Please read carefully the Student Handbook Article 7 and Article 10 available at Student Handbook (<https://www.tamui.edu/handbook/index.shtml>).

We are committed to strict enforcement of the Honor Code. Violations of the Honor Code tend to involve claiming work that is not one's own, most commonly plagiarism in written assignments and any form of cheating on exams and other types of assignments.

Plagiarism is the presentation of someone else's work as your own. It occurs when you:

1. Borrow someone else's facts, ideas, or opinions and put them entirely in your own words. You must acknowledge that these thoughts are not your own by immediately citing the source in your paper. Failure to do this is plagiarism.
2. Borrow someone else's words (short phrases, clauses, or sentences), you must enclose the copied words in quotation marks as well as citing the source. Failure to do this is plagiarism.
3. Present someone else's paper or exam (stolen, borrowed, or bought) as your own. You have committed a clearly intentional form of intellectual theft and have put your academic future in jeopardy. This is the worst form of plagiarism.

Here is another explanation from the 2020, seventh edition of the Manual of The American Psychological Association (APA):

"Plagiarism is the act of presenting the words, idea, or images of another as your own; it denies authors or creators of content the credit they are due. Whether deliberate or unintentional, plagiarism violates ethical standards in scholarship" (p. 254). This same principle applies to the illicit use of AI.

**Plagiarism:** Researchers do not claim the words and ideas of another as their own; they give credit where credit is due. Quotations marks should be used to indicate the exact words of another. Each time you paraphrase another author (i.e., summarize a passage or rearrange the order of a sentence and change some of the words), you need to credit the source in the text. The key element of this principle is that authors do not present the work of another as if it were their own words. This can extend to ideas as well as written words. If authors model a study after one done by someone else, the originating author should be given credit. If the rationale for a study was suggested in the discussion section of someone else's article, the person should be given credit. Given the free exchange of ideas, which is very important for the health of intellectual discourse, authors may not know where an idea for a study originated. If authors do know, however, they should acknowledge the source; this includes personal communications (p. 11). For guidance on proper documentation, consult the Academic Success Center or a recommended guide to documentation and research such as the Manual of the APA or the MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers. If you still have doubts concerning proper documentation, seek advice from your instructor prior to submitting a final draft.

TAMU has penalties for plagiarism and cheating.

- **Penalties for Plagiarism:** Should a faculty member discover that a student has committed plagiarism, the student should receive a grade of 'F' in that course and the matter will be referred to the Honor Council for possible disciplinary action. The faculty member, however, may elect to give freshmen and sophomore students a "zero" for the assignment and to allow them to revise the assignment up to a grade of "F" (50%) if they believe that the student plagiarized out of ignorance or carelessness and not out of an attempt to deceive in order to earn an unmerited grade; the instructor must still report the offense to the Honor Council. This option should not be available to juniors, seniors, or graduate students, who cannot reasonably claim ignorance of documentation rules as an excuse. For repeat offenders in undergraduate courses or for an offender in any graduate course, the penalty for plagiarism is likely to include suspension or expulsion from the university.
  - **Caution:** Be very careful what you upload to Turnitin or send to your professor for evaluation. Whatever you upload for evaluation will be considered your final, approved draft. If it is plagiarized, you will be held responsible. The excuse that "it was only a draft" will not be accepted.
  - **Caution:** Also, do not share your electronic files with others. If you do, you are responsible for the possible consequences. If another student takes your file of a paper and changes the name to his or her name and submits it and you also submit the paper, we will hold both of you responsible for plagiarism. It is impossible for us to know with certainty who wrote the paper and who stole it. And, of course, we cannot know if there was collusion between you and the other student in the matter.
- **Penalties for Cheating:** Should a faculty member discover a student cheating on an exam or quiz or other class project, the student should receive a "zero" for the assignment and not be allowed to make the assignment up. The incident should be reported to the chair of the department and to the Honor Council. If the cheating is extensive, however, or if the assignment constitutes a major grade for the course (e.g., a final exam), or if the student has cheated in the past, the student should receive an "F" in the course, and the matter should be referred to the Honor Council. Additional penalties, including suspension or expulsion from the university may be imposed. Under no circumstances should a student who deserves an "F" in the course be allowed to withdraw from the course with a "W."
  - **Caution:** Chat groups that start off as "study groups" can easily devolve into "cheating groups." Be very careful not to join or remain any chat group if it begins to discuss specific information about exams or assignments that are meant to require individual work. If you are a member of such a group and it begins to cheat, you will be held responsible along with all the other members of the group. The TAMU Honor Code requires that you report any such instances of cheating.
- **Student Right of Appeal:** Faculty will notify students immediately via the student's TAMU e-mail account that they have submitted plagiarized work. Students have the right to appeal a faculty member's charge of academic dishonesty by notifying the TAMU Honor Council of their intent

to appeal as long as the notification of appeal comes within 10 business days of the faculty member's e-mail message to the student and/or the Office of Student Conduct and Community Engagement. The Student Handbook provides more details.

## Use of Work in Two or More Courses

You may not submit work completed in one course for a grade in a second course unless you receive explicit permission to do so by the instructor of the second course. In general, you should get credit for a work product only once.

## AI Policies

Your instructor will provide you with their personal policy on the use of AI in the classroom setting and associated coursework.

## TAMIU E-Mail and SafeZone

Personal Announcements sent to students through TAMIU E-mail ([tamiu.edu](mailto:tamiu.edu) or dusty email) are the official means of communicating course and university business with students and faculty –not the U.S. Mail and no other e-mail addresses. Students and faculty must check their TAMIU e-mail accounts regularly, if not daily. Not having seen an important TAMIU e-mail or message from a faculty member, chair, or dean is not accepted as an excuse for failure to take important action.

Students, faculty, and staff are encouraged to download the SafeZone app, which is a free mobile app for all University faculty, staff, and students. SafeZone allows you to: report safety concerns (24/7), get connected with mental health professionals, activate location sharing with authorities, and anonymously report incidents. Go to SafeZone (<https://www.tamiu.edu/adminis/police/safezone/index.shtml>) for more information.

## Copyright Restrictions

The Copyright Act of 1976 grants to copyright owners the exclusive right to reproduce their works and distribute copies of their work. Works that receive copyright protection include published works such as a textbook. Copying a textbook without permission from the owner of the copyright may constitute copyright infringement. Civil and criminal penalties may be assessed for copyright infringement. Civil penalties include damages up to \$100,000; criminal penalties include a fine up to \$250,000 and imprisonment. Copyright laws do not allow students and professors to make photocopies of copyrighted materials, but you may copy a limited portion of a work, such as article from a journal or a chapter from a book for your own personal academic use or, in the case of a professor, for personal, limited classroom use. In general, the extent of your copying should not suggest that the purpose or the effect of your copying is to avoid paying for the materials. And, of course, you may not sell these copies for a profit. Thus, students who copy textbooks to avoid buying them or professors who provide photocopies of textbooks to enable students to save money are violating the law.

## Students with Disabilities

Texas A&M International University seeks to provide reasonable accommodations for all qualified persons with disabilities. This University will adhere to all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations and guidelines with respect to providing reasonable accommodations as required to afford equal education opportunity. It is the student's responsibility to register with the Office of Disability Services for Students located in Student Center 124. This office will contact the faculty member to recommend specific, reasonable accommodations. Faculty are prohibited from making accommodations based solely on communications from students. They may make accommodations only when provided documentation by the Office of Disability Services for Students.

For accommodations or assistance with disabilities, contact the Disability Coordinator, Karla Pedraza, at [karla.pedraza@tamiu.edu](mailto:karla.pedraza@tamiu.edu), call 956.326.2763, or visit Student Center 124.

## Student Attendance and Leave of Absence (LOA) Policy

As part of our efforts to assist and encourage all students towards graduation, TAMIU provides LOA's for students, including pregnant/parenting students, in accordance with the Attendance Rule (Section 3.07) and the Student LOA Rule (Section 3.08), which includes the "Leave of Absence Request" form. Both rules can be found in the TAMIU Student Handbook (URL: Student Handbook (<https://www.tamiu.edu/handbook/index.shtml>)).

## Pregnant and Parenting Students

Under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, harassment based on sex, including harassment because of pregnancy or related conditions, is prohibited. A pregnant/parenting student must be granted an absence for as long as the student's physician deems the absence medically necessary. It is a violation of Title IX to ask for documentation relative to the pregnant/parenting student's status beyond what would be required for other medical conditions. Students who experience or observe alleged or suspected discrimination due to their pregnant/parenting status, should report to the TAMIU Title IX Coordinator (Lorissa M. Cortez, 5201 University Boulevard, KLM 159B, Laredo, TX 78041, [TitleIX@tamiu.edu](mailto:TitleIX@tamiu.edu), 956.326.2857) and/or the Office of Civil Rights (Dallas Office, U.S. Department of Education, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 1620, Dallas, TX 75201-6810, 214.661.9600). You can also report it on TAMIU's anonymous electronic reporting site, *Report It*, at <https://www.tamiu.edu/reportit> (<https://www.tamiu.edu/reportit/index.shtml>).

TAMIU advises a pregnant/parenting student to notify their professor once the student is aware that accommodations for such will be necessary. It is recommended that the student and professor develop a reasonable plan for the student's completion of missed coursework or assignments.

The Office of Compliance (Lorissa M. Cortez, [lorissam.cortez@tamiu.edu](mailto:lorissam.cortez@tamiu.edu)) can assist the student and professor in working out the reasonable accommodation. For other questions or concerns regarding Title IX compliance related to pregnant/parenting students, contact the Title IX Coordinator. In the event that a student needs a leave of absence for a substantial period of time, TAMIU urges the student to consider a Leave of Absence (LOA) as outlined in the *TAMIU Student Handbook*.#As part of our efforts to assist and encourage all students towards graduation, TAMIU provides LOAs for students, including pregnant/parenting students, in accordance with the Attendance Rule and the Student LOA Rule.#Both rules can be found in the *TAMIU Student Handbook*.

For parenting-related rights, accommodations, and resources, contact the Parenting Liaison, Mayra Hernandez, at [mghernandez@tamiu.edu](mailto:mghernandez@tamiu.edu), call 956.326.2265, or visit Student Center 226.

For pregnancy-related rights, accommodations, and resources, contact the TIX Coordinator, Lorissa Cortez, at [lorissaM.cortez@tamiu.edu](mailto:lorissaM.cortez@tamiu.edu), call 956.326.2857, or visit Killam Library 159.

## Anti-Discrimination/Title IX

TAMIU does not discriminate or permit harassment against any individual on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability, genetic information, veteran status, educational programs, or employment. If you would like to file a complaint relative to Title IX or any civil rights violation, please contact the TAMIU Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity/Title IX Coordinator, Lorissa M. Cortez, 5201 University Boulevard, Killam Library 159B, Laredo, TX 78041, [TitleIX@tamiu.edu](mailto:TitleIX@tamiu.edu), 956.326.2857, via the anonymous electronic reporting website, ReportIt (<https://www.tamiu.edu/reportit>) and/or the Office of Civil Rights (Dallas Office), U.S. Department of Education, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 1620, Dallas, TX 75201-6810, 214.661.9600.

## Incompletes

Students who are unable to complete a course should withdraw from the course before the final date for withdrawal and receive a "W." To qualify for an "incomplete" and thus have the opportunity to complete the course at a later date, a student must meet the following criteria:

1. The student must have completed 90% of the course work assigned before the final date for withdrawing from a course with a "W", and the student must be passing the course;
2. The student cannot complete the course because an accident, an illness, or a traumatic personal or family event occurred after the final date for withdrawal from a course;
3. The student must sign an "Incomplete Grade Contract" and secure signatures of approval from the professor and the college dean.
4. The student must agree to complete the missing course work before the end of the next long semester; failure to meet this deadline will cause the "I" to automatically be converted to an "F"; extensions to this deadline may be granted by the dean of the college. This is the general policy regarding the circumstances under which an "incomplete" may be granted, but under exceptional circumstances, a student may receive an incomplete who does not meet all of the criteria above if the faculty member, department chair, and dean recommend it.

## WIN Contracts

The Department of Biology and Chemistry does not permit WIN contracts. For other departments within the college, WIN Contracts are offered only under exceptional circumstances and are limited to graduating seniors. Only courses offered by full-time TAMIU faculty or TAMIU instructors are eligible to be contracted for the WIN requirement. However, a WIN contract for a course taught by an adjunct may be approved, with special permission from the department chair and dean. Students must seek approval before beginning any work for the WIN Contract. No student will contract more than one course per semester. Summer WIN Contracts must continue through both summer sessions.

## Student Responsibility for Dropping a Course

It is the responsibility of the student to drop the course before the final date for withdrawal from a course. Faculty members, in fact, may not drop a student from a course without getting the approval of their department chair and dean.

## Independent Study Course

Independent Study (IS) courses are offered only under exceptional circumstances. Required courses intended to build academic skills may not be taken as IS (e.g., clinical supervision and internships). No student will take more than one IS course per semester. Moreover, IS courses are limited to seniors and graduate students. Summer IS course must continue through both summer sessions.

## Grade Changes & Appeals

Faculty are authorized to change final grades only when they have committed a computational error or an error in recording a grade, and they must receive the approval of their department chairs and the dean to change the grade. As part of that approval, they must attach a detailed explanation of the reason for the mistake. Only in rare cases would another reason be entertained as legitimate for a grade change. A student who is unhappy with his or her grade on an assignment must discuss the situation with the faculty member teaching the course. If students believe that they have been graded unfairly, they have the right to appeal the grade using a grade appeal process in the Student Handbook and in the Faculty Handbook.



## Final Examination

All courses in all colleges must include a comprehensive exam or performance and be given on the date and time specified by the Academic Calendar and the Final Exam schedule published by the Registrar's Office. In the College of Arts & Sciences all final exams must contain a written component. The written component should comprise at least 20% of the final exam grade. Exceptions to this policy must receive the approval of the department chair and the dean at the beginning of the semester.

## Mental Health and Well-Being

The university aims to provide students with essential knowledge and tools to understand and support mental health. As part of our commitment to your well-being, we offer access to Telus Health, a service available 24/7/365 via chat, phone, or webinar. Scan the QR code to download the app and explore the resources available to you for guidance and support whenever you need it. The Telus app is available to download directly from TELUS (tamiu.edu) (<https://www.tamiu.edu/counseling/telus/>) or from the Apple App Store and Google Play.